

#### 1300 NORTH 17th STREET, 11th FLOOR ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22209

OFFICE: (703) 812-0400 FAX: (703) 812-0486 www.fhhlaw.com www.commlawblog.com

September 26, 2019

DONALD J. EVANS (703) 812-0400 EVANS@FHHLAW.COM

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington DC 20554

Re: ET Docket No. 18-295, Unlicensed Use of the 6 GHz Band

GN Docket No. 17-183, Expanding Flexible Use in Mid-Band Spectrum

Between 3.7 and 24 GHz Ex Parte Communication

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On September 24, 2019, George Kizer, Chairman of the Technical Committee of the Fixed Wireless Communications Coalition, made a presentation to the 8th Annual Spectrum Management Conference in Washington, DC, on issues that bear on the above-referenced proceedings. Commission officials were in attendance.

Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission's rules, I am attaching a copy of Mr. Kizer's presentation.

Please contact me with any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

Mutchell Rosarus

Donald J. Evans Mitchell Lazarus

Counsel for the Fixed Wireless Communications Coalition

George Kizer
Technical Committee Chairman
Fixed Wireless Communications Coalition
President, National Spectrum Management Association



The 8th Annual Americas
Spectrum Management Conference

September 24 - 25, 2019 | Washington D.C. USA

ForumGlobal



## History

- □ For the last 35 years the bulk of the Fixed Service Pointto-Point microwave systems (Part 101) have been frequency managed is such a way that all mw paths are protected from harmful interference
- □ Safety-critical services, requiring 99.999% to 99.9999% reliability, require full interference protection all the time



### So What's New?

- □ RLAN consortium proposes to introduce 958,062,017 unlicensed Wireless point to point devices into the 5.925 to 7.125 GHz band
- Two service are proposed
  - Relatively high-power RLAN transmitters managed by an Automatic Frequency Coordination (AFC) function
  - > Unmanaged indoor (30 dBm EIRP) and outdoor (14 dBm EIRP) RLAN transmitters
- □ The Commission made clear "we emphasize our commitment to preserve and protect the important base of incumbent users in these frequency bands [FCC 18-147, §2]"
- ☐ The courts have ruled that the FCC's authorizing unlicensed devices that cause harmful interference to a licensed service would be unlawful
- □ Fixed Point-to-Point critical-mission users are concerned about possible reductions in interference protection

## What is interference protection?

### **RLAN Coalition's Perspective**

- ☐ The "vast majority" of FS paths will be protected
  - > RLAN calculations emphasize "average" interference cases -- irrelevant
  - actual interference comes from atypically located "corner cases" -- which RLAN interests admit they ignore



## What is interference protection?



## FS Incumbents' Perspective

- □ All FS paths must be fully protected
  - Interference protection from ALL RLAN transmitters is required (i.e., <u>all RLAN</u> transmitters must be actively managed)
  - > Path availability degradation is not acceptable
  - Traditional and proven interference criteria must be used

## What is interference protection?

## RLAN and FS Operators are philosophically opposed

- □ RLAN operators protect the "typical" FS receiver from "average" interference
- □ FS operators protect every FS receiver all the time



## Questions?

George Kizer | 972.333.0712 | georgekizer@gmail.com



FIXED WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS COALITION